PHILOSOPHY

DON'T BECOME A SCIENTIST?

27.02.2007

A quick <u>link</u> to an open letter - I do not endorse the opinions expressed there...

Now you spend your time writing proposals rather than doing research. Worse, because your proposals are judged by your competitors you cannot follow your curiosity, but must spend your effort and talents on anticipating and deflecting criticism rather than on solving the important scientific problems. They're not the same thing: you cannot put your past successes in a proposal, because they are finished work, and your new ideas, however original and clever, are still unproven. It is proverbial that original ideas are the kiss of death for a proposal; because they have not yet been proved to work (after all, that is what you are proposing to do) they can be, and will be, rated poorly. Having achieved the promised land, you find that it is not what you wanted after all.

Looks like 'Research 2.0' need to be installed there.

CC-BY-NC Science Surf 27.02.2007, access 18.10.2025 ☐