**PHILOSOPHY** 

## **DEALING WITH NOISE**

17.09.2008


Public Rambling discusses post-publication journals:

These ideas of sorting based on measures of usage is already being tested by the new Frontiers journals. These are a series of open access journals published by an international not-for-profit foundation based in Switzerland. As PLoS ONE, these journals aim to separate the peer-review process of quality and scientific soundness from the more subjective impact evaluation. In practice they are doing this by publishing research in a tiered system with articles submitted to a set of specialty journals.

I am already in favor of <u>publishing every paper electronically</u>. Public Rambling, however, also cites Campbell:

nobody wants to have to wade through a morass of papers of hugely mixed quality, so how will the more interesting papers in such an archive get noticed as such?

What about post-publication journals that just pick the excellent papers – either by their own expertise, by agents or peer recommendation?



No more hassle with repeated submission of papers – just upload and wait and see if you get recognition of your peers, yea, yea.

CC-BY-NC Science Surf 17.09.2008, access 19.10.2025 ☐

https://www.wjst.de/blog/sciencesurf/2008/09/dealing-with-noise/ Page 2