**PHILOSOPHY** 

## VALUE REPLICABILITY NOT JOURNAL IMPACT

7.01.2014

There is an <u>excellent comment on research misconduct</u> at the brand new Pubmed Commons site by Dorothy Bishop:

. . .

Instead of valuing papers in top journals, we should be valuing research replicability. This would entail a massive change in our culture, but a start has already been made in my discipline of psychology (see <a href="http://www.nature.com">http://www.nature.com</a>).

... The top journals prioritize exciting results over methodological rigour. There is therefore a strong temptation to do post hoc analyses of data until an exciting result emerges. I agree with Farthing that pre-registration of research projects is a good way of dealing with this. I'm pleased to say that here too, psychology is leading the way in extending research registration beyond the domain of clinical trials (<a href="http://blogs.lse.ac.uk/">http://blogs.lse.ac.uk/</a>).

That's the way to go.

CC-BY-NC Science Surf accessed 15.12.2025