

PHILOSOPHY, SOFTWARE


# RESPONSIBILITY FOR ALGORITHMS

30.05.2022

Excellent paper at [towardsdatascience.com](https://towardsdatascience.com) about the responsibility for algorithms including a

broad framework for involving citizens to enable the responsible design, development, and deployment of algorithmic decision-making systems. This framework aims to challenge the current status quo where civil society is in the dark about risky ADS.

I think that the responsibility is not primarily with the developer but with the user and the social and political framework ( [SPON](#) has a warning about the numerous crazy errors when letting AI decide about human behaviour while I can also recommend here the “Weapons of Math Destruction” ).



Being now [in the 3rd wave](#) of machine learning, the question is now already discussed (Economist & [Washington Post](#)) if AI has an own personality.

This discussion between a Google engineer and their conversational AI model helped cause the engineer to believe the AI is becoming sentient, kick up an internal shitstorm and get suspended from his job. And it is absolutely insane. <https://t.co/hGdwXMzQpX> [pic.twitter.com/6Wxo0Tpvwp](https://pic.twitter.com/6Wxo0Tpvwp)

— Tom Gara (@tomgara) [June 11, 2022](#)

The dialogue sounds slightly better than [ELIZA](#) but again way off.

We clearly need to regulate that gold rush to avoid further car crashes like [this one in China](#) and [this one in France](#).

CC-BY-NC Science Surf , accessed 15.04.2026, [click to save as PDF](#)

---