

ALLERGY, JOKE

ALLERGY NONSENSE

10.12.2023

Richard Harris in "[Rigor Mortis](#)"

It was one of those things that everybody knew but was too polite to say. Each year about a million biomedical studies are published in the scientific literature. And many of them are simply wrong. Set aside the voice-of-God prose, the fancy statistics, and the peer review process, which is supposed to weed out the weak and errant. Lots of this stuff just doesn't stand up to scrutiny.

While I don't like the aggressive posts of [forbetterscience.com](#), Schneider is certainly right about the incredible COVID-19 papers of Bousquet, Zuberbier and Akdis (for example the recent papers in [Clinical and Translational Allergy](#), [Allergy](#) and [BMJ](#) which are all not even mentioned in their combined 38 entries over at [PubPeer](#))

It is proposed that fermented cabbage is a proof-of-concept of dietary manipulations that may enhance Nrf2-associated antioxidant effects, helpful in mitigating COVID-19 severity.

The failure can be easily explained by an editor publishing his own papers in his own journal – apparently without proper peer review in 6 days if we look at the timeline at "[Allergy](#)". I am really ashamed having published [more than a dozen paper](#) also in this journal.

Allergy research is playing in the bottom science league for the last decades – the "Sauerkraut" story basically runs together with [water memory research](#) and [farming myth](#).

